## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	
	)	
Service Rules for the Advanced Wireless Services	)	WT Docket No. 12-357
H BlockImplementing Section 6401 of the	)	03-137; 12-152; and 12-357
Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of	)	
2012 Related to the 1915-1920 MHz and	)	
1995-2000 MHz Bands	)	

To: Office of the Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, DC 20554

Comment Filed by: Cindy Sage, MA

1396 Danielson Road Montecito CA 93108 e-mail: <a href="mailto:sage@silcom.com">sage@silcom.com</a> Tel.: 805-969-0557

February 4, 2013

- 1. My name is Cindy Sage. My business address is 1396 Danielson Road, Montecito, California, 93108.
- 2. I have been a professional environmental science consultant since 1972 and am the owner of Sage Associates, an environmental sciences consulting firm in Santa Barbara, California. I hold an M.A. degree in Geology, and a B.A. in Biology from the University of California, Santa Barbara.
- 3. I am the co-editor of both the 2007 BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF); and the BioInitiative 2012: A Rationale for Biologically-based Exposure Standards for Low-Intensity Electromagnetic Radiation. (See: www.bioinitiative.org) My recent

publications are listed. I served as a member of the California Public Utilities Commission EMF Consensus Group, the Keystone Center Dialogue for Transmission Line Siting (a national group developing EMF Policy), and of the International Electric Transmission Perception Project. I am a full member of the Bioelectromagnetics Society.

4. My professional involvement in this area includes development suitability constraint analysis, environmental planning, and impact assessment on EMF issues for more than 25 years. My company has provided professional consulting services to city and county planners, private developers, state agencies and schools with respect to measurement and assessment of EMF and RFR as a part of land planning and environmental constraints analysis since 1972. I have been an expert witness on EMF policy, public perception, transmisson line impacts and land use issues, and have qualified both in state and in federal court proceedings as an expert witness in this area.

It is my professional opinion that the FCC is failing in its duty to protect public health by ignoring wireless health risks while increasing wireless broadband mobile spectrum. The FCC is proposing to approve the rollout of a preventable toxic exposure that has recently been designated as a Possible Human Carcinogen. The FCC has no defensible basis to continue 'business as usual' in selling more spectrum at the same time it has turned a blind eye toward health effects that are internationally recognized to exist. The FCC has a duty to update its public safety standards first. It must first deal with clearly emerging health risks in a safe and responsible way. The FCC must take responsibility for the costs and societal burdens it creates. Whether the economic benefits of more connectivity outweigh the health care costs created has not been discussed, let alone assessed in any responsible way.

"Demand for Mobile Spectrum. Wireless broadband is a key component of economic growth, job creation and global competitiveness because consumers are increasingly using wireless broadband services to assist them in their everyday lives. The rise of wireless broadband reflects a rapid increase in user adoption, the increasing number of devices per user, and the proliferation of uses per device. The explosive growth of wireless broadband services has created increased demand for wireless spectrum, which is expected to continue increasing, despite technological developments that allow for more efficient spectrum use. As a result, licensed mobile networks need to be able to increase their capacity, and unleashing more spectrum for broadband is essential to meeting this challenge."

The FCC should develop new, biologically-based public exposure safety regulations for low-intensity, chronic exposure to RFR (radiofrequency radiation) in order to fulfill its duty to "ensure that the public is appropriately protected from any potential adverse effects from RF exposure."

- 5. The FCC must address and incorporate appropriate measures to take into account the recent World Health Organization International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classification of RFR as a Possible Human Carcinogen before subjecting widespread national populations to a preventable toxic exposure. The WHO IARC classified RF radiation as a Group 2B Possible Human Carcinogen; it joins the IARC classification of ELF-EMF (Extremely Low Frequency Electromagnetic Fields) as a Group 2B Possible Human Carcinogen, which the FCC has also ignored. The evidence for carcinogenicity for RFR was primarily from cell phone/brain tumor studies but IARC applies this classification to all RFR exposures.
- 6. The evidence in 2012 is greater than in 2007 that RFR is associated with increased risk for cancer, neurological diseases, altered fetal brain development, immune disorders and sleep disruption in humans.
- 7. In 2012, there are more studies reporting adverse health effects; and more studies implicating even lower "effect" levels on human health than in 2007. Studies on human sperm and cell tower studies implicate "effect" levels in the nanowatt per square centimeter range with chronic exposure to pulsed RFR. This body of scientific evidence must be properly addressed by the FCC. It must become a factor in decision making before the FCC approves plans, projects and rules where the public may be subjected to increased levels of RFR exposures in daily life that are largely unavoidable and involuntary.
- 8. Exposure to electromagnetic fields has been linked to a variety of adverse health outcomes that may have significant public health consequences (both extremely low-frequency ELF-EMF from power frequency sources like power lines and appliances; and radiofrequency radiation or RFR). The most serious health endpoints that have been reported to be associated with extremely low frequency (ELF) and/or radiofrequency radiation (RFR) include childhood and adult leukemia, childhood and adult brain tumors, and increased risk of the neurodegenerative diseases, Alzheimer's and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). In addition, there are reports of increased

risk of breast cancer in both men and women, genotoxic effects (DNA damage, chromatin condensation, micronucleation, impaired repair of DNA damage in human stem cells), pathological leakage of the blood-brain barrier, altered immune function including increased allergic and inflammatory responses, miscarriage and some cardiovascular effects. Insomnia (sleep disruption) is reported in studies of people living in very low- intensity RFR environments with WI-FI and cell tower-level exposures. Short- term effects on cognition, memory and learning, behavior, reaction time, attention and concentration, and altered brainwave activity (altered EEG) are also reported in the scientific literature. EMF and RFR exposures cause bioeffects and adverse health effects consistent with those identified in children with autism spectrum disorders (ASDs) (Section 20, *BioInitiative Report 2012*).

- 9. Several international laboratories have replicated studies showing adverse effects on sperm quality, motility and pathology in men who use and particularly those who wear a cell phone, PDA or pager on their belt or in a pocket (See Section 18 for references including Agarwal et al, 2008; Agarwal et al, 2009; Wdowiak et al, 2007; De Iuliis et al, 2009; Fejes et al, 2005; Aitken et al, 2005; Kumar, 2012). Other studies conclude that usage of cell phones, exposure to cell phone radiation, or storage of a mobile phone close to the testes of human males affect sperm counts, motility, viability and structure (Aitken et al, 2004; Agarwal et al, 2007; Erogul et al., 2006). Animal studies have demonstrated oxidative and DNA damage, pathological changes in the testes of animals, decreased sperm mobility and viability, and other measures of deleterious damage to the male germ line (Dasdag et al, 1999; Yan et al, 2007; Otitoloju et al, 2010; Salama et al, 2008; Behari et al, 2006; Kumar et al, 2012). There are fewer animal studies that have studied effects of cell phone radiation on female fertility parameters. Panagopoulous et al. 2012 report decreased ovarian development and size of ovaries, and premature cell death of ovarian follicles and nurse cells in Drosophila melanogaster. Gul et al (2009) report rats exposed to standby level RFR (phones on but not transmitting calls) caused decrease in the number of ovarian follicles in pups born to these exposed dams. Magras and Xenos (1997) reported irreversible infertility in mice after five (5) generations of exposure to RFR at cell phone tower exposure levels of less than one microwatt per centimeter squared  $(\mu W/cm2)$ .
- 10. The National Toxicology Program (NTP) has begun but not completed a study on the potential carcinogenicity of RFR; no further deployment of wireless technologies or

commitment to high-speed broadband should be permitted until the NTP study is completed, circulated for comment and independently reviewed.

- 11. It is not in the public interest to wait.
- 12. There are very few studies on the impact on children's health from RFR.
- 13. Children are more vulnerable to environmental toxins and carcinogens than adults. There is overwhelming evidence that children are more vulnerable than adults to many different exposures (Sly and Carpenter, 2012), including RFR, and that the diseases of greatest concern are cancer and effects on neurodevelopment.
- 14. Children cannot remove themselves from potentially harmful wireless exposures.
- 15. The FCC has a duty to protect the health and welfare of children.
- 16. American families cannot 'opt out' of blanket wireless broadband exposures.
- 17. Expansion of broadband wireless systems has the potential to expose entire communities to a new, continuous, involuntary source of RF radiation. The RFR signal will be carried into properties and homes of those who do not wish to subscribe.
- 18. There are thousands of studies on adults in high quality, peer-reviewed scientific and public health journals that report health impacts from exposure to RFR at levels far below existing public safety limits. There are legitimate health concerns regarding exposure to RFR, which has rapidly become one of the most pervasive environmental exposures in modern life.
- 19. The existence of low-intensity (non-thermal) effects from wireless technologies is established.
- 20. Existing FCC uncontrolled public safety limits are inadequate to protect public health.
- 21. New, biologically-based public exposure standards are needed.

22. The presence of wireless antenna facilities and wireless broadband exposures can have negative impacts on the value and utility of land, may pose potential health risks, may result in loss of property value, and in general may be a negative effect on real estate markets. Land that is affected can be more speculative and risky to sell and develop; it is considered environmentally flawed.

23. Wise land use requires that RFR in homes and other sensitive receptors (schools, day-care, pre-schools) be at levels below those associated with increased risk of cancer and neurological diseases that have been reported with chronic exposure to low-intensity RFR and ELF-EMF.

24. Health care costs that will be associated with widespread and unavoidable exposures to low-intensity RFR from wireless broadband will have a negative economic impact on the American economy.

25. There is no informed consent by the American public about wireless health risks.

26. Prudent public health actions are warranted now that are proportionate to the potential health risks and enormous populations at possible risk.

27. Alternatives without health harm are available for high-speed broadband internet connectivity.

28. The US should implement fiber optic, cable and other shielded wire solutions for high-speed broadband internet connectivity and SmartGrid technology instead of wireless broadband.

Respectfully submitted this day of February 3, 2013

Co-Editor, BioInitiative Report 2012

## References

Adey WR. Potential therapeutic applications of nonthermal electromagnetic fields: ensemble organization of cells in tissue as a factor in biological field sensing. In: Rosch PJ, Markov MS, editors. Bioelectromagnetic Medicine, 2004.

Aitken RJ, Koopman P, Lewis SEM. Seeds of concern. Nature 2004;432:48-52.

Aitken RJ, Bennetts LE, Sawyer D, Wiklendt AM, King BV. Impact of radio frequency electromagnetic radiation on DNA integrity in the male germline. Int J Androl. 2005; 28(3):171-179.

Aldad TS, Gan G, Gao XB, Taylor HS. Fetal radiofrequency radiation exposure from 800-1900 MHz-rated cellular telephones affects neurodevelopment and behavior in mice. Sci Rep. 2012;2:312.

Agarwal A, Deepinder F, Sharma RK, Ranga G, Li J. Effect of cell phone usage on semen analysis in men attending infertility clinic: an observational study. Fertil Steril. 2008; 89(1):124-128.

Agarwal A, Desai NR, Makker K, Varghese A, Mouradi R, Sabanegh E, Sharma R. Effects of radiofrequency electromagnetic waves (RF-EMW) from cellular phones on human ejaculated semen: an in vitro pilot study. Fertil Steril. 2009;92(4):318-1325.

Atasoy HI, Gunal MY, Atasoy P, Elgun S, Bugdayci G. Immunohistopathologic demonstration of deleterious effects on growing rat testes of radiofrequency waves emitted from conventional Wi-Fi devices. J Pediatr Urol. 2012 [Epub ahead of print].

Avendano C, Mata A, Sanchez Sarmiento CA, Doncei GF. Use of laptop computers connected to internet through Wi-Fi decreases human sperm motility and increases sperm DNA fragmentation. Fertil Steril. 2012;97(1):39-45. Epub 2011 Nov 23.Environmental Health 2012,11:42 http://www.ehjournal.net/content/11/1/42 Behari J, Kesari KK. Effects of microwave radiations on reproductive system of male rats. Embryo Talk 2006;1 (Suppl.1):81-5.

Baan R, Lauby-Secretan B, El Ghissassi F, Bouvard V, Benbrahim-Tallaa, Guha N, Islami F, Galiecht L, Straif K, on behalf of the WHO International Agency for Research on Cancer Monograph Working Group. Carcinogenicity of Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Lancet Oncology, Published on line June 22, 2011, DOI:10.1016/S1470- 2045(11)70147-4

Barouki R, Gluckmarn, PD, Grandjean P, Hanson M, Jeindel JJ. Developmental origins of non-communicable disease: Implications for research and public health.

Bellieni CV, Acampa M, Maffei M, Maffei S, Perrone S, Pinto I, Stacchini N, Buonocore G. Electromagnetic fields produced by incubators influence heart rate variability in

newborns. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed. 2008;93(4):F298-301.

Bellieni CV, Pinto I, Bogi A, Zoppetti N, Andreuccetti D, Buonocore G. Exposure to electromagnetic fields from laptop use of "laptop" computers. Arch Environ Occup Health. 2012;67(1):31-36.

Bellieni CV, Tei M, Iacoponi F, Tataranno ML, Negro S, Proietti F, Longini M, Perrone S, Buonocore G. Is newborn melatonin production influenced by magnetic fields produced by incubators?, Early Hum Dev 2012;88(8):707-710

Belyaev IY, Alipov YD, Harms-Ringdahl M. Effects of zero magnetic field on the conformation of chromatin in human cells. Biochim Biophys Acta 1997;1336(3):465-473.

Belyaev I. BioInitiative 2012 Update, Section 15. Role of physical and biological variables in bioeffects of non-thermal microwaves for reproducibility, Cancer Risk Assessment and Safety Standards, 2012.

BioInitiative Working Group, Sage C, Carpenter DO, editors. BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF) at www.bioinitiative.org, August 31, 2007.

Blank M, Goodman R. DNA is a fractal antenna in electromagnetic fields. Int. J. Rad. Biol. Early On-Line, 2011. 1-7. DOI: 10.3109/09553002.2011.538130

Buchner K, Eger H. Changes of clinically important neurotransmitters under the influence of modulated RF fields—A long-term study under real-life conditions Umwelt-Medizin-Gesellschaft 2011;24(1):44-57. [Original study in German.]

Buzsaki G. Rhythms of the brain. Oxford Press, 2006;464 pp.

Carpenter DO Sage CL. 2008. Setting Prudent Public Health Policy for Electromagnetic Field Exposures. Reviews on Environmental Health 23(2) 91-117.

Carpenter DO. Electromagnetic fields and cancer: the cost of doing nothing. Reviews on Environmental Health 2010;25(1):75-80.

Czyz J, Guan K, Zeng Q, Nikolova T, Meister A, Schönborn F, Schuderer J, Kuster N, Wobus AN. High frequency electromagnetic fields (GSM signals) affect gene expression levels in tumor suppressor p53-deficient embryonic stem cells. Bioelectromagnetics 2004;25:296-307.

Dasdag S. Whole-body microwave exposure emitted by cellular phones and testicular function of rats. Urological Research 1999;27(3):219-223.

Davoudi M, Brossner C, Kuber W. The influence of electromagnetic waves on sperm

motility. J Urol Urogynak 2002;29:19-22. De Iuliis GN, Newey RJ, King BV, Aitken RJ. Mobile phone radiation induces reactive oxygen species production and DNA damage in human spermatozoa in vitro. PLoS One 2009;4(7):e6446.

Divan HA. Kheifets L. Obel C. Olsen J. Prenatal and postnatal exposure to cell phone use and behavioral problems in children. Epidemiology 2008;19(4):523-529.

Erogul O, Oztas E, YildirimI, Kir T, Aydur E, Komesli G, Irkilata HC, Irmak MK, Peker AF. Effects of electromagnetic radiation from a cellular phone on human sperm motility: an in vitro study Arch Med Res 2006;37:840-843.

Falzone N, Huyser Cm, Becker P, Leszczynski D, Franken DR. The effect of pulsed 900-MHz GSM mobile phone radiation on the acrosome reaction, head morphometry and zona binding of human spermatozoa. Int J Androl 2011;34:20-26.

Fejes I, Zavaczki Z, Szollosi J, Koloszar S, Daru J, Kovacs L, Pal A. Is there a relationship between cell phone use and semen quality? Arch Androl 2005;51:385-393.

Fragopoulou AF, Koussoulakos SL, Margaritis LH. Cranial and postcranial skeletal variations induced in mouse embryos by mobile phone radiation. Pathophysiology. 2010;17(3):169-177.

Fragopoulou AF, Miltiadous P, Stamatakis A, Stylianopoulou F, Koussoulakos SL, Margaritis LH. Whole body exposure with GSM 900MHz affects spatial memory in mice. Pathophysiology. 2010;17(3):179-187.

Fragopoulou AF, Samara A, Antonelou MH, Xanthopoulou A, Papadopoulou A, Vougas K, Koutsogiannopoulou E, Anastasiadou E, Stravopodis DJ, Tsangaris GT, Margaritis LH. Brain proteome response following whole body exposure of mice to mobile phone or wireless DECT base radiation. Electromagn Biol Med. 2012 Jan 20. [Epub ahead of print]

Fejes I, Zavaczki Z, Szollosi J, Koloszar S, Daru J, Kovacs L. Is there a relationship between cell phone use and semen quality? Arch. Androl. 2005;51:385-393.

Gangi S, Johansson, O. A theoretical model based upon mast cells and histamine to explain the recently proclaimed sensitivity to electric and/or magnetic fields in humans. Med Hypotheses 2000;54:663-671.

Gee, D. Late Lessons from Early Warnings: Toward realism and precaution with EMF. Pathophysiology 2009;16(2,3):217-231.

Gul A, Celebi H, Uğraş S. The effects of microwave emitted by cellular phones on ovarian follicles in rats. Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2009;280(5):729-733,

Gutschi T Al-Ali MB Shamloul R Pummer K Trummer H. Impact of cell phone use on men's semen parameters. Andrologia 2011;43(5):312-316.

Hardell L Sage C. Biological effect from electromagnetic field exposure and public exposure standards. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy 2008;62:104-109. doi:10.1016/j.bipha.2007.12.004.

Hardell et al, BioInitiative Report Update, Section 11, Use of wireless phones and evidence for increased risk of brain tumors, 2012.

Heinrich S, Thomas S, Heumann C, von Kries R, Radon K. Association between exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields assessed by dosimetry and acute symptoms in children and adolescents: a population based cross-sectional study. Environ Health 2010;9:75.

Hutter HP, Moshammer H, Wallner P. Kundi M. Subjective symptoms, sleeping problems, and cognitive performance in subjects living near mobile phone base stations, Occup. Environ. Med. 2006;63:307-313.

Interphone Study Group. Brain tumour risk in relation to mobile telephone use: results of the INTERPHONE international case-control study. International Journal of Epidemiology 2010;39(3):675-694.

Johansson A, Nordin S, Heiden M, Sandstrom M. Symptoms, personality traits, and stress in people with mobile phone-related symptoms and electromagnetic hypersensitivity. J. Psychosom Res. 2010;68(1):37-45.

Johansson O. Disturbance of the immune system by electromagnetic fields – a potentially underlying cause for cellular damage and tissue repair reduction which could lead to disease and impairment. Pathophysiology 2009;16(2,3):157-177.

Johansson O. Evidence for effects on the immune system – Section 8 in Sage C, Carpenter DO, editors. BioInitiative Working Group, BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF) at www.bioinitiative.org, August 31, 2007.

Kilgallon SJ, Simmons LW. Image content influences men's semen quality. Biol Lett 2005;1:253-255.

Kundi M. Hutter HP. Mobile phone base stations—Effects on wellbeing and health. Pathophysiology 2009;16:123-135.

Lai H. BioInitiative 2012 Report Update, Section 6, Genotoxicity, 2012.

Landgrebe M, Hauser S, Langguth B, Frick U, Hajak G, Eichhammer P. Altered cortical

excitability in subjectively electrosensitivie patients: results of a pilot study. J. Psychsom Res 2007; 62(3):283-288.

Landgrebe M, Frick U, Hauser S, Langguth B Rosner R Hajak G, Eichhammer P. Cognitive and neurobiological alterations in electromagnetic hypersensitive patients: results of a case-control study. Psychol Med. 2008;38(12):1781-1791.

Ponomarev V, Sandström M, Mild KH, Medvedev S. EEG Synchronization in man under influence of the modulated illumination. Human Physiology, 1995;21:6;38-41.

Lyskov E, Ponomarev V, Sandström M, Mild KH, Medvedev S. Steady-state visual evoked potentials to computer monitor flicker. Int Journal of Psychophysiology, 1998;28:285-290.

Lyskov. E, Sandström, M. Hansson Mild K. Neurophysiological study of patients with perceived electrical sensitivity. Int J Psychophysiol 2001;42, 233-241.

Lyskov. E, Sandström, M. Hansson Mild K. Provocation study of persons with perceived electrical hypersensitivity and controls using magnetic field exposure and recording of electrophysiological characteristics. Bioelectromagnetics 2001;22:457-462.

Magras, IN, Zenos TD, RF Radiation-induced changes in the prenatal development of mice. Bioelectromagnetics 1997;18:455-461.

Marino A. Response to letter to the editor concerning 'Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity: Evidence for a Novel Neurological Syndrome." Int J Neurosci, Early On-line, 2012;1-2.

Markova E. Malmgren LOG. Belyaev IY. Microwaves from mobile phones inhibit 53PB1 focus formation in human stem cells stronger than in differentiated cells: Possible mechanistic link to cancer risk. Environmental Health Perspectives On-line 22 October 2009 doi:10.1289/ehp.0900781

Markova E, Malmgrem LOG, Belyaev IY. Microwaves from mobile phones inhibit 53PB1 focus formation in human stem cells stronger than in differentiated cells: possible mechanistic link to cancer risk. Environmental Health Perspectives 2010;118(3):394-399.

McCarty DE, Carrubba S, Chesson AL, Frilot C, Gonzalez-Toledo E, Marino AA. Electromagnetic hypersensitivity: evidence for a novel neurological syndrome. Int J Neurosci 2011;121:670-676.

Milham S. Historical evidence that electrification caused the 20th century epidemic of "diseases of civilization". Med Hypotheses 2010;74(2):337-345.

Mohler E, Frei P, Braun-Fahrländer C, Fröhlich J, Neubauer G, Röösli M; Qualifex Team. Effects of everyday radiofrequency electromagnetic-field exposure on sleep quality: a cross-sectional study. Radiat Res 2010;174(3):347-356.

Oberfeld G, Enrique NA. Manuel P, Ceferino M. Gomez-Perrretta C. The Microwave Syndrome – Further Aspects of a Spanish Study. 3rd International Workshop on Biological Effects of Electromagnetic Fields. Kos, Greece, 2004.

Otitoloju AA, Obe IA, Adewale OA, Otubanjo OA, Osunkalu VO. Preliminary study on the induction of sperm head abnormalities in mice, Mus musculus, exposed to radiofrequency radiations from global system for mobile communication base stations. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 2010;84(1):51-54.

Navarro EA, Sequra J, Portoles M, Gomez-Perretta de Mateo C. The Microwave Syndrome: a preliminary study in Spain. Electromag Biol Med 2003;122:161-169,

Panagopoulos DJ. Effect of microwave exposure on the ovarian development of Drosophila melanogaster. Cell Biochem Biophys. 2012;63(2):121-132,.

Presidents Cancer Panel. 2008-2009 Annual Report. Reducing Environmental Cancer Risk: What We Can Do Now, 2010. http://deainfo.nci.nih.gov/advisory/pcp/annualReports/pcp08-09rpt/PCP\_Report\_08 09 508.pdf

Preston RJ. Review: Children as a sensitive subpopulation for the risk assessment process. Toxicoloty and Applied Pharmacology 2004;199:132-141.

Sage C, Carpenter DO. Public health implications of wireless technologies. Pathophysiology 2009;16:233-246.

Sage C. Tragedy of the commons revisited: the high tech-high risk wireless world, Reviews on Environmental Health 2010;25(4):319-325.

Sage C, Huttunen P. Guest Editorial. WHO recognizes electromagnetic dangers: let us declare human health rights. Pathophysiology 2012;19:1-3.

Sage C Johansson O Sage SA. 2007. Personal digital assistant (PDA) cell phone units produce elevated extremely-low frequency electromagnetic field emissions. Bioelectromagnetics 28(5) 386-392.

Sage C Johansson O. 2007. Response to comment on "Measuring ELF fields produced by mobile phones and personal digital assistants (PDAs)". Bioelectromagnetics 28(7) 584-585.

Sage CL Sage SA. 2006. Briefing Report on Electromagnetic Fields: Health Effects, Public Policy and Site Planning. J.Aust. Coll.Nutr. & Env. Med. Vol.25, No. 2

Sage CL Sage SA. 2004. Epidemiology for Decision-makers: A Visual Guide to Residential and Occupational EMF Epidemiological Results on Leukemia 1979-2004.

London Leukemia Conference, London, England, Children With Leukemia Registered Trust.

Sage C Sampson M. 1996. Epidemiology for Decision-makers: A Visual Guide to Residential and Occupational EMF Epidemiological Results, Bioelectromagnetics Society Abstract Annual Meeting, Victoria, Canada, 1996. Salama N, Kishimoto T, Kanayama HO. Effects of exposure to a mobile phone on testicular function and structure in adult rabbit. Int J Androl. 2010;33(1):88-94.

Sandström M, Lyskov E, Hansson Mild K. Neurophysiological effects of flickering light on patients with electrical hypersensitivity. In: Katajainen J, Knave B, eds, Electromagnetic Hypersensitivity. 2nd Copenhagen Conference, Denmark, May 1995.

Sandström M, Lyskov E, Hansson Mild K. Neurophysiological effects of flickering light on patients with electrical hypersensitivity. Proceeding at the Workshop on Project 244: Biomedical Effect of Electromagnetic Fields, Graz, Österrike 26-27 Sept 1994;88-93, XIII/72/95-EN.

Sandström M, Lyskov E, Berglund A, Medevedev S, Hansson Mild K. Neurophysiological effects of flickering light in patients with perceived electrical hypersensitivity. JOEM. 1997;39:15-22.

Sandstrom M, Lyskov E. Hornsten R, Hansson Mild K, Wiklund U, Rask P, Klucharev B, Bjerle P. Holter ECG monitoring in patients with perceived electrical hypersensitivity. Int J Psychophysiology 2003;49:227-235.

Schreier N, Huss A, Roosli M. The prevalence of symptoms attributed to eelctromagentic field exposure: a cross-sectional representative survey in Switzerland. Soz Preventiv Med 51: 202-209Seyle, H. (1953): Einführung in die Lehre von Adaptations-Syndrom, Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 2006.

Strogatz S. Human sleep and circadian rhythms: a simplemodel based on two coupled oscillators. J. Math. Biol 1987;25:327-347.

Strogatz S. Exploring complex networks. Review Article. Nature 2001;410(6825):268-76.

Strogatz S. Sync: The emerging science of spontaneous order. ISBN )-7868-6844-9. First Edition. Hyperion Books, New York, NY, 2003..

Sly JL, Carpenter DO. Special vulnerability of children to environmental exposures (in press) Rev Environ Health 27: 150-158:2012.

Thomas S, Kühnlein A, Heinrich S, Praml G, Nowak D, von Kries R, Radon K. Personal exposure to mobile phone frequencies and well-being in adults: a cross-sectional study based on dosimetry. Bioelectromagnetics 2008;29:463-470.

## **Recent Publications for Cindy Sage**

BioInitiative Working Group, Cindy Sage and David O. Carpenter, Editors. BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for Biologically-based Public Exposure Standards for Electromagnetic Radiation at www.bioinitiative.org, December 31, 2012.

Herbert M Sage C (2012) Findings in Autism (ASD) Consistent with Electromagnetic Fields (EMF) and Radiofrequency Radiation (RFR) in BioInitiative Working Group, Cindy Sage and David O. Carpenter, Editors. BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for Biologically-based Public Exposure Standards for Electromagnetic Radiation at www.bioinitiative.org, December 31, 2012.

Sage C Carpenter DO. (2012). Key Scientific Evidence and Public Health Policy Recommendations in BioInitiative Working Group, Cindy Sage and David O. Carpenter, Editors. P

BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for Biologically-based Public Exposure Standards for Electromagnetic Radiation at www.bioinitiative.org, December 31, 2012.

Sage C. (2012) The similar effects of low-dose ionizing radiation and non-ionizing radiation from background environmental levels of exposure. Special Issue: Impact of Physical Factors on Biosphere Guest Editor: Marko S. Markov, The Environmentalist Volume 32 · Number 2 · June 2012

Sage, C. (2102) Guest Editorial. WHO recognizes electromagnetic dangers: let us declare human health rights. Pathophysiology 19 (2012) 1–3

Sage, C. Assessment of Radiofrequency Microwave Radiation Emissions from Smart Meters. Sage Reports.Com Science for Decision-Makers and the Public. Sage Associates. January 1, 2011. Posted January 1, 2011 at <a href="http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf">http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf</a>

Sage, C (2011) An assessment of the EPRI technical report *An Investigation of Radiofrequency Fields Associated with the Itron Smart Meter, Richard Tell Associates, Inc. December*, 2010. Sage Reports.Com Science for Decision-Makers and the Public. Posted November, 2011 at <a href="http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf/?page">http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf/?page</a> id=474

Sage, C. (2011) EPRI Comment: Sage Report on Radio-Frequency (RF) Exposures from Smart Meters, February, 2011. Sage Associates Response posted February 14, 2011 at <a href="http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf/?page">http://sagereports.com/smart-meter-rf/?page</a> id=460

Fragopoulou A, Grigoriev Y, Johansson O, Margaritis LH, Morgan L, Richter E, Sage C. "Scientific panel on electromagnetic field health risks: Consensus points, recommendations, and rationales. Scientific Meeting: Seletun, Norway, November 17-21, 2009", Rev Environ Health 2010; 25: 307-317.

Sage, C. 2010. Tragedy of the commons revisited: the new wireless commons. Reviews on Environmental Health Vol 25 (4) 319-325. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York.

Sage C. Carpenter DO. 2009. Public Health Implications of Wireless Technologies. Pathophysiology 16 (2009) 233–246

Hardell L Sage C. Biological effect from electromagnetic field exposure and public exposure standards. Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy 2008;62:104-109. doi:10.1016/j.bipha.2007.12.004.

BioInitiative Working Group, Cindy Sage and David O. Carpenter, Editors. BioInitiative Report: A Rationale for a Biologically-based Public Exposure Standard for Electromagnetic Fields (ELF and RF) at www.bioinitiative.org, August 31, 2007.

Carpenter DO Sage CL. 2008. Setting Prudent Public Health Policy for Electromagnetic Field Exposures. Reviews on Environmental Health 23(2) 91-117.

Sage C Johansson O Sage SA. 2007. Personal digital assistant (PDA) cell phone units produce elevated extremely-low frequency electromagnetic field emissions. Bioelectromagnetics 28(5) 386-392.

## CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

State of California	1			
County of Mone				
On Feb 4 2013 before me, Dian personally appeared Cinity Sarge	Notary Public , Hore Insert Name and Title of the Officer  Name(s) of Signer(s)			
DIANE M. BEGLAU COMM. # 1943702 NOTARY PUBLIC-CALIFORNIA MOHO COUNTY MY COMM. EXP. AUG. 6, 2015	who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.  I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.  WITNESS my hand and official seal.			
Place Notary Seal Above	Signature Dane M. Bolaco			
Though the information below is not required by law, it may prove valuable to persons relying on the document and could prevent fraudulent removal and realtachment of this form to another document.				
Description of Attached Document				
Title or Type of Document: Before the Federal Communications Commission				
Document Date: 2/4/13 Number of Pages:				
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above:				
Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)				
Signer's Name:  Individual Corporate Officer — Title(s): Partner — Limited General Attorney in Fact Trustee Guardian or Conservator Other:	☐ Individual ☐ Corporate Officer — Title(s): ☐ Partner — ☐ Limited ☐ General ☐ Attorney in Fact ☐ Trustee ☐ Guardian or Conservator ☐ Other:			
Signer Is Representing:	Signer Is Representing:			

State of California County of		
Subscribed and sworn to (or affirm day of <u>Fub</u> , 2013, by		
proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) who appeared before me.		
DIANE M. BEGLAU COMM. # 1943702 NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA MONO COUNTY MY COMM. EXP. AUG. 6, 2015		
(Seal) Signatu	re Dane M. Bylan	

for FCC 12-152